

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,478	08/28/2003	Pieter van Rooyen	RONI-015/01US	6127	
23446 7	590 06/02/2006	EXAMINER			
	VS HELD & MALLO	TRAN, PABLO N			
500 WEST MA SUITE 3400	DISON STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60661			2618		

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Α	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/650,478	ROOYEN ET AL.				
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		F	Pablo N. Tran	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN A STATE OF THE OF THE MAN IS IN A STATE OF THE MAN IS IN A STATE OF THE MAN IS I	ALING DAT f 37 CFR 1.136(a nication. utory period will a ill, by statute, car	E OF THIS COMMUNIC a). In no event, however, may a re apply and will expire SIX (6) MON use the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2th Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)⊠ This ac or allowance	ction is non-final. e except for formal matte	• •	e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-19 and 2</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,9,20 and 28</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>2-8, 21-27</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>'9-31</u> is/are I.		ration.				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
	·	-,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/15/04</u> .		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-9 and 20-28, in the reply filed on 04/04/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent invention. This is not found persuasive because Group I drawn to a method of orthogonally multiplexing the replicas signals, Group II drawn to an apparatus having multiplexers/summing module/signal recovery module, Group III drawn to a method of spreading and overlaying channels, Group IV drawn to despreading channels, and Group V drawn to removing interference. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given as stated above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter and different searches are required for each group. Therefore, it is of great burden to the examiner to perform thorough searches for each and every distinct invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Art Unit: 2618

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 and 20 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 23, 29, and 42-43 of copending Application No. 10/606371. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant invention encompasses all the limitations of the copending Application with various wording.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/650,478

Art Unit: 2618

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 9, 20, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shapira et al. (US 2003/0162566 A1).

As per claims 1 and 20, Shapira et al. disclose a method for receiving K replicas of the signal, each of the K replicas being received by one of a corresponding K antennas so as to thereby generate K received signal replicas [0064-0065]; processing each of the K received signal replicas using one of N orthogonal sequences, thereby generating K processed signal replicas, wherein N is less than K [0048, 0055, 0063-0066, 0074, 0080]; orthogonally multiplexing the K processed received signal replicas into a multiplexed signal provided to a signal processing chain [0048, 0055, 0063-0066, 0074, 0080]; down-converting, within the signal processing chain, the multiplexed signal into a baseband multiplexed signal [0064-0065]; and transforming the baseband multiplexed signal into K separate signals wherein each of the K separate signals corresponds to one of the K replicas of the signal [0058-0059, 0071, 0076, 0100, 0103-0104, 00142].

As per claims 9 and 28, Shapira et al. disclose such communication protocol [0080, 0142, 0149] selected from a group consisting of: orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM), time division multiple access (TDMA), code division multiple access (CDMA), gaussian minimum shift keying (GMSK), complementary code keying (CCK), quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK), frequency shift keying (FSK), phase shift keying (PSK), or quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,478 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-7 and 21-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-7898. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571)272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-directauspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PABLO N. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 29, 2006

Aurone